



Split Vote to Condemn

'Horrors of Socialism' Shows Democrats Have a Socialism Problem

**"Group-think is no think." BIDEN'S SOCIALIST, PELOSI'S SOCIALIST, AMERICA DON'T
YOU WANT TO BE COMMUNIST TOO?**

Modern society is hypnotized by socialism. It is prevented by socialism from seeing the mortal danger it is in. And one of the greatest dangers of all is that you have lost all sense of danger, you cannot even see where it's coming from as it moves swiftly towards you.

Modern feminism is hypnotized by socialism. It is prevented by feminism from seeing the mortal danger it is in. One of the greatest dangers of all is that you have lost all sense of danger and cannot see where it is coming from as it moves swiftly towards you....Patriarchy falls to matriarchy [feminism] and that always falls to tyranny [socialism, communism] Aristotle. Socialism and communism are the same thing...[socialism is suicide at the ballot box, communism is suicide by force] Ayn Rand. What do you see happening in the world today, especially in America?

"Group-think is no think." There is no difference between socialism and communism. Socialism is suicide at the ballot box; communism is suicide by the state forced through a gun barrel. Both are collectivism so name your poison, it is still poison.

Brandon Morse
4-5 minutes

A recent vote on the House floor to condemn the "Horrors of Socialism" proved what many people already knew about the Democrat Party; it is infested with socialists.

According to [Fox News](#), the vote was used as a litmus test by Republicans to have proud socialists step forward and declare themselves. Sure enough, 86 out of 109 Democrats did:

Republicans called up the resolution as a way to remind the public that socialist policies – which they fear have been creeping into American life after two years of Biden's Democrat

control in Washington – go against the values on which America was founded.

House [Majority Leader Steve Scalise](#), R-La., said Thursday that **socialism is “one of the most destructive ideologies in world history.”**

As expected, the resolution split Democrats, as some have openly described themselves as adherents of “democratic socialism.” As a group, Democrats narrowly voted in favor of the resolution by a 109-86 tally, even though every Democrat who debated the bill spoke against the resolution.

Naturally, Democrats had a shallow defense for their resistance to the vote. According to California Democrat fear-monger Maxine Waters, the entire vote was a precursor to the elimination of social security and other welfare programs:

“Americans know better than the fear-mongering we see here today,” Waters said. “They know, for example, that when the pandemic hit and people were dying all across this country, it was the federal government that stepped in to provide trillions of dollars of support to small businesses, workers, ranchers, students, seniors, and would you believe it, even Republican members of Congress.”

“Historically, Republicans have tried to label as socialist any Democratic actions that improve the lives of Americans,” added Rep. Nydia Velazquez, D-N.Y. “This is what Republicans call socialism. From climate action and public education to affordable care and Social Security, Republicans classify popular government programs to help working families as socialism.”

Because they are socialist programs. It should also be noted that Waters is correct. The federal government did shell out money to businesses and individuals, but it was fleeting amounts of money that paled in comparison to having a job or owning a business, the same jobs and businesses that Democrats insisted needed to be shuttered and terminated in order to protect the people from a virus that had a survival rate in the 98th percentile.

It’s all a fear-mongering red herring anyway. There was no mention in the proposal about the elimination of any programs.

The point is that Democrats are infested with the belief that an over-arching government presence that controls the means of production is the best form of government, a claim that has been proven demonstrably false on occasion after occasion. Collectivism fails 100% every time and place it is advocated.

(READ: [Face It, Socialists, Your Backward System Doesn’t Work](#))*

Waters herself made it clear years ago that this was, indeed, the ultimate aim of the Democrat Party, a fact that Texas Rep. Chip Roy [recently reminded both America and Waters of](#).***

“And guess what, this liberal will be all about?” asked Waters of an oil executive back in 2008. “This liberal will be all about socializing, would be about basically taking over the government and the government running all of your companies.”

Other Democrats such as those that belong to “The Squad,” including AOC, Rashida Tlaib, Ilhan Omar, and Cori Bush all have openly socialist leanings and have cheered on various pro-communist groups such as Black Lives Matter and Antifa.


This socialist disease that infects the Democrat Party has sadly infected the country itself and its effects can be seen in many places, especially in the state of California where socialist policies are the state party’s bread and butter. It can also be seen in Universities to a horrifying degree.

No matter how you swing it, the Democrat Party might not be entirely given over to socialism but it is to such a degree that it’s now defining it.

28 Times Media And Democrats Excused Violence By Left-Wing Activists

Tristan Justice

10-13 minutes



Democrats and their allies in the media are ready to condemn riots now that the turmoil has shifted to fit their narrative.

On Wednesday, a mob of Trump supporters stormed the U.S. Capitol building. It was an astonishing display of anarchic protest that delayed congressional certification of the Electoral College vote formally handing former Vice President Joe Biden the keys to the White House.

The scenes from the dark day of disaster demonstrations illustrated a deteriorating country, repulsed millions, and traumatized a nation still recovering from the death, despair, and disruption that came to define the dystopian months of 2020. Above all, what happened Wednesday served as a grim reminder that the institutional stress test of 2020 has followed us into 2021.

For the left, however, the chaos at the Capitol has opened an opportunity to refute the perception they own the monopoly on widespread political violence. Democrats and left-wing media elites have [already](#)

[capitalized](#) on the violent outbreak, where [four people died](#), to characterize conservatives as the creatures of chaos.

At this point, it's undeniable that the political far-right calls home to its own Antifa-like anarchists. It is also undeniable, however, that reaction to the protests from conservative leaders roundly condemning the violence from their own side stands in remarkable contrast to the way Democrats and corporate media spent months going out of their way attempting to justify the deadly actions of militant leftists.

Not one prominent conservative pundit or politician with any significant platform was reported to have tried endorsing the mob of Trump supporters infiltrating the U.S. Capitol. To the contrary, conservatives spent months vilifying Democratic leaders for not doing enough in their own states and cities to crack down on the militant mobs of leftists taking streets under siege, normalizing the kind of political violence on track to appear routine in the nightmare 2020 decade.

It was only seven months ago that Washington D.C. was last on fire.

A trip down memory lane chronicling the left's reaction to last year's eruption of unrest claiming the lives of at least [30 people](#) not only exposes the hypocrisy suffusing Democrats' condemnation of political violence, but also illustrates a sobering reality that there's plenty of blame to go around for the situation in which the United States now finds itself.

1. Kamala Harris Urges Followers to Cover Rioters' Bail

Incoming Vice President Kamala Harris [encouraged](#) her supporters last summer to donate to the Minnesota Freedom Fund, which provided bail money to the militant anarchists facing charges for setting fire to Minneapolis.

2. Chris Cuomo: Who Said Protests Were Supposed To Be Peaceful?

CNN's Chris Cuomo showcased his ignorance of the First Amendment in June when dismissing the idea that militant protests sweeping the nation in the aftermath of George Floyd's death were illegal.

"Please, show me where it says protesters are supposed to be polite and peaceful," Cuomo demanded.

Here's the [text](#) from the First Amendment, emphasis added:

Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the **right of the people peaceably to assemble**, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.

3. MSNBC Reporter Describes Fiery Scene 'Mostly A Protest'

MSNBC's Ali Velshi stood in front of a liquor store burning behind him in Minneapolis and called the riots surrounding the camera crew "mostly a protest" that is "not, generally speaking, unruly."

“But fires have been started,” Velshi made clear to note.

4. CNN Labels Burning Protest ‘Fiery But Mostly Peaceful’

CNN continued to parody itself in August as a second wave of mass unrest swept the country with Kenosha, Wisconsin as its focal point. After the label “fiery but mostly peaceful” had become a trolling phrase online to describe legacy media’s coverage of the summer riots, the network literally [adopted](#) the words to describe events unfolding in Kenosha while a reporter stood in front of a several burning vehicles.

5. Democratic National Convention Refuses To Condemn Riots

Democrats went through their entire online convention [without condemning](#) the epidemic of left-wing violence that engulfed the nation in the preceding months.

6. Pulitzer Prize-Winning New York Times Writer: Destroying Property Isn’t Violence

The New York Times’ architect of the “[1619 Project](#),” Nikole Hannah-Jones, explicitly [rejected](#) the idea that destroying property fits the definition of “violence.”

“Destroying property, which can be replaced, is not violence,” Hannah-Jones said on CBS, to which the anchors offered no challenge.

“It’s a great point that you make, Nikole,” CBS’s Vladimir Duthiers replied.

Hannah-Jones also said it would be “[an honor](#)” for 2020 riots be deemed the “1619 Riots” despite destroying [minority](#) businesses.

7. Government-Funded PBS Reporter Denies Anarchists Are ‘Anarchists’

PBS White House Correspondent Yamiche Alcindor tried and [failed](#) to fact-check President Donald Trump in May, apparently faulting the president for not providing any evidence when calling the anarchists terrorizing cities “anarchists.”

8. CNN’s Don Lemon Compares Leftist Riots to Boston Tea Party

CNN prime time anchor Don Lemon celebrated the Floyd riots as a 21st-century version of the Boston Tea Party.

“And let’s not forget if anyone is judging this, I’m not judging this,” Lemon said as CNN cameras rolled with footage of riots in Washington D.C. and Los Angeles. “This is how this country was started.”

9. CNN's Chris Cillizza Complains About the Term 'Riot'

<https://twitter.com/abigailmarone/status/1300225419678019591?s=20>

10. New York Times Columnist Finds Portland Anarchists In Piece Titled, 'Help Me Find Trump's 'Anarchists' In Portland'

New York Times columnist Nicholas Kristof penned an op-ed in July headlined, "Help Me Find Trump's 'Anarchists' In Portland," in which he actually appears to find them.

11. MSNBS's Joy Reid: BLM Riots Are Really Just Undercover White Nationalists Causing Trouble

12. Huffington Post Releases Video Outlining 'How Riots Built America'

The Huffington Post published a brief four-minute [mini-documentary](#) outlining "How Riots Built America" to draw parallels between the 2020 riots against police with periods of unrest throughout American history.

13. NBC News Allegedly Instructed Staff to Avoid the Term 'Riot'

14. Seattle Mayor On Anarchists Taking Control of Downtown: Don't Be Afraid of Democracy

Seattle Mayor Jenny Durkan returned fire on Trump in June when the president demanded an end to the city's partial occupation by socialist protestors.

"Seattle is fine," Durkan wrote on Twitter despite the insurgency. "Don't be so afraid of democracy."

15. Washington Governor Unaware of Seattle Occupation

Washington Democratic Gov. Jay Inslee was caught being [completely oblivious](#) to the anarchist insurrection taking over his state's largest city when he was asked about the rogue militia during a coronavirus press briefing.

16. Pelosi When Mobs Tore Down Statues: 'People Will Do What They Do'

House Speaker Nancy Pelosi just shrugged when asked about a militant mob tearing down the statue of legendary explorer Christopher Columbus in Baltimore in June 2020.

“People will do what they do,” Pelosi said.

The Democratic leader likely had a different reaction Wednesday at the sight of a random Trump anarchists lounging around in her own office.

17. Rolling Stone Re-Publishes Article to ‘Rethink Property Destruction’

Rolling Stone Magazine re-published a 2014 article first out following the Ferguson, Mo. riots in 2104 [titled](#), “9 Historical Triumphs To Make You Rethink Property Destruction,” promoting the devastation as progress in May last year. The “historical pedigree of property destruction as a tactic of resistance is long and frequently effective,” the authors wrote.

18. GQ Magazine: Violent Protests Work

GQ Magazine published a lengthy feature in June amid the Floyd riots [headlined](#), “Why Violent Protests Work.”

19. Slate: Riots Are A ‘Proportionate Response’

Slate Magazine ran a [piece](#) amid the Floyd riots justifying the chaos ripping the nation’s cities apart titled, “Proportionate Response.”

20. Mother Jones: ‘Riots Aren’t Irrational’

Mother Jones joined the crowd in mid-June with a piece [titled](#), “Riots Aren’t Irrational.”

21. TIME Magazine: The Term ‘Riot’ Is ‘Loaded’

Time Magazine published a [piece](#) in June headlined, ‘A War of Words.’ Why Describing the George Floyd Protests as ‘Riots’ Is So Loaded.”

22. Vox: Woke Riots Are Scary But Productive. Capitol Riots Were Devastating

23. New York Times Has Sympathy For BLM Riots, While Blaming Trump As Sole Perpetrator For Wednesday Mob

24. Jacobin Magazine: Looting Is Justified Only For Social Justice

The left-wing publication also published a piece [headlined](#), “When Rioting Works,” in June.


25. AOC: The Whole Point of Protesting Is to Make People Uncomfortable

This is what Rep. Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez, D-N.Y., said about rioting in December 2020. Her staff [began trying](#) to get Twitter to stop people from retweeting images of this in the wake of the Wednesday riots.



Thread



Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez 
@AOC



The whole point of protesting is to make ppl uncomfortable.

Activists take that discomfort w/ the status quo & advocate for concrete policy changes. Popular support often starts small & grows.

To folks who complain protest demands make others uncomfortable... that's the point.

12:16 PM · 12/2/20 · [Twitter for iPhone](#)

26. Author Writes Book Titled, 'In Defense Of Looting'

Writer Vicky Osterweil published a book late last summer titled, "In Defense Of Looting," and earned a [feature](#) in National Propaganda Radio (NPR) for the work.

27. NPR Guest: Floyd Demonstrations Are Acts Of Rebellion, Not Riots

Temple University Professor Marc Lamont Hill went on NPR [complaining](#) that dismissing protestors as rioters “dehumanizes” them, impeding political progress.

*Face It, Socialists, Your Backward System Doesn't Work

Brandon Morse

5-6 minutes

There are a great many mysteries around the universe, and one of them is how socialism continues to be as popular as it is amongst the general populace. We live in an era where the story of our history as a species is literally at our fingertips, and yet there is an inordinate amount of people who think that the ideology that killed millions (and still kills to this day) is a good idea.

Wherever socialism or communism goes, starvation, disease, murder, and despotism follow. Whether it be Soviet Russia, North Korea, Venezuela, or China, we see the horrors of a state where the government controls all or most aspects of society. In many instances, we see the people lash out against it in some form or another.

For instance, it's currently happening in Cuba where we are [seeing citizens take to the streets](#) to demand freedom and an end to the communism they've been ruled under for so long. They're so into the rejection of their current system that they're willing to become violent with police and [wave the American flag around](#). The American media is doing its best to do damage control and put the protesters intentions in a more COVID-19-driven light, but the cat's out of the bag and there's no putting it back in.

The Cubans want an end to communism. Full stop.

I'm not going to get too far into the rabbit hole on why socialism can't work on an economic level, because there are way smarter people out there who can explain it far more eloquently than I can, but the truth is, I don't think I have to. If I pick up a hot coal and it burns my hand, I don't continue to try to pick it up thinking “this time, it'll be fine.” The same can be said with socialism. It's not just going to stop being a harmful system.

Besides, whenever anyone points these failings out, the would-be socialists scream that it “wasn't true socialism,” when it really was. The socialism we see play out in the nations that used it and failed is the logical conclusion of socialism based on our compunctions and drives as an egocentric species. The “true socialism” that these people reference is idealized. On paper, socialism works great, but so do many plots in fantasy novels. Despite my greatest wishes, pegasi and magical swords aren't real and neither is a human being that puts away all self-interest or tribal affinity.

To illustrate my point, I created a video about the fragility of socialism and how an entire society could collapse because one dude wanted to date a pretty girl.

As I say in the video, socialists aren't unlike flat-earthers. They believe in something that just can't be, and the insistence that it can is in defiance of all common sense and fact.

What's more, even the socialist heroes that these would-be socialists look up to are even more bourgeoisie than many of the millionaires and billionaires they claim to despise. In truth, people like Bernie Sanders, Patrisse Cullors, Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez, and even the "okay boomer" girl are all just really good salespeople. You buy the lie that they sell, and they turn your support into donations and power. You get to support a failed system while going nowhere on your liberal arts degree and they get to tell you you're fighting the good fight from their very expensive lakefront vacation home.

(READ: [There's No Such Thing as a Socialist](#))**

If socialism is so great, how come some of its greatest proponents live like the people they tell you to hate?

I've never seen any socialist confront these ideas. Nine times out of ten they engage in some sort of what-about-ism where half the claims they make about capitalism are drenched in half-truths or all-out lies. Even the countries where they introduced a survivable amount of socialism have introduced a massive dose of capitalism to keep it afloat, but they don't want to acknowledge that either.

But despite all of this, the inescapable truth is that socialism and communism has been tried and it doesn't work. Capitalist countries are [playing around in space](#) now while socialist countries are struggling to make toilet paper a common household item. One is clearly better than the other and yet the fantasy that the one that constantly features breadlines is the healthier options persists.

It's time to embrace reality and ditch socialism. It doesn't work. It never has. It never will, and you're wasting everybody's time.

**There's No Such Thing as a Socialist

Brandon Morse

5-6 minutes

"But Brandon, we see them all the time on social media! Some of the most famous socialists in the world are in our own government!"

Correct, but I'd like to put forward the argument that these "eat the rich" socialists that you see dominating social media and television screens aren't actually socialists. They may espouse these values, they may even sincerely believe them, but they're not socialists.

I'm going to put forward a handful of examples, and you draw your own conclusions.

Example 1: Bernie Sanders and Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez

Bernie Sanders and AOC are, by far, America's most popular socialists. So socialist are they that push against big corporations from entering into their city, push for wild levels of taxation, and every other word out of their mouth is about billionaires needing to pay their fair share.

Hearing this, they must really dislike the bourgeoisie and view wealth as ill-gotten no matter the circumstances. To be sure, they do...unless it's their wealth. It was only a few years ago that Sanders landed himself square in the middle of an [FBI investigation](#) for fraudulent claims about a \$10 million

loan, [wasn't paying back the city's](#) that provided him services for his campaign and preached about it all while living in [one of three of his very expensive homes](#).

AOC isn't much better. After railing against Tesla and Amazon, [AOC's Tesla was illegally parked](#) at a Whole Foods (owned by Amazon) within walking distance of her very posh DC apartment.

I think you can see my point already appearing in your mind, but let's see a couple more examples.

Example 2: Black Lives Matter co-founder

Remember "trained Marxist" Patrisse Cullors? Remember how she co-founded Black Lives Matter as a movement to push back against the alleged system of oppression that keeps black people down, white people in power, and encouraged the set up of a "new system" that allows for the equal treatment of black people?

Remember how this trained Marxist was suddenly [revealed to have expensive homes](#) in posh, mostly white neighborhoods?

You can see what I'm getting at now, but let's just throw up one more example so that the point is well made.

Example 3: Nekolul

Allow me to introduce you to Nicole Sanchez, more popularly known as "Nekolul" online. If you have no idea who I'm talking about, this little video may jog your memory.

Still not ringing a bell? Good for you for not getting pulled in by this crap, but for the purposes of this article we're going to look into her a bit more.

Sanchez rocketed to fame when she released the video above. Her mix of cute and sexy became a hit with a lot of people and her Bernie shirt made her a hero to the socialist left who supported the guy. Her fame would grow, becoming a mouthpiece for socialism on Twitch where she would complain about eating cup noodles while Bezos ate \$1,000 steak before telling people to "eat the rich."

Sanchez was a socialist, and a popular socialist to boot. This popularity, Sanchez found, easily translated into dollar amounts for her. It worked for her so well, that she was able to show you around her \$2 million Dallas, Texas apartment on YouTube at the beginning of this month without a hint of self-awareness.

Here's The Quartering talking about this very thing.

As Jeremy, The Quartering's host points out, Sanchez's followers began trickling away from her over their disappointment that their "eat the rich" queen wasn't seeing the hypocrisy.

But she does. Sanchez knows full well what she's doing but, like all the other socialists, Sanchez doesn't care. She, like Bernie, like AOC, and like Cullors, are only socialists to a point. The moment they see the open door to practice and benefit from capitalism is the moment they walk through it. If asked to practice true socialism and split their money with others in equal share, they'd defend their bank accounts to the death.

And you know what? They should. It's their money that they made fair and square...at least the money they made fair and square is.

Looking back through history, socialism and communism have never actually existed in practice. There are always people who benefit more from it than others and the people that do oftentimes live in complete and total luxury while others starve and die of diseases. Be it in Russia, North Korea, or even in America, you'll find the loudest and most recognized socialists hoarding money and living in luxury. If they were mysteriously teleported to a country where everyone lived equally with no ability to game the system or make more money for being clever or working harder, it'd be the worst day of their lives.

Do you think AOC or Sanchez would give all their money away and live in government-approved housing? Lord, no. Or use VA for benefits or medical care? Hell no, those are for the unwashed rabble Americans. These are good only for thee not for me, says Cortez.

It just goes to show you that when it comes to socialists, there aren't really any. Socialism isn't something the human brain can actually do in practice, just preach in theory.

***Chip Roy Gets Maxine Waters to Denounce Maxine Waters in Amazing Exchange
Sister Toldjah
3-4 minutes

Any day that Rep. Maxine Waters (D-Calif.) [commits a self-own](#) is a good day.

But sometimes a little prodding is necessary to achieve the desired result, and that's exactly what happened during a fascinating exchange Tuesday between Waters, who is the former Chairwoman of the House Financial Services Committee, and Rep. Chip Roy (R-Texas) at a House Rules Committee hearing.

The subject of the hearing was a discussion of a [resolution](#) titled "denouncing the horrors socialism," which is sponsored by Rep. Maria Salazar (R-Fla.) and which was introduced on January 25th.

At one point during the hearing, Roy confronted Waters with her own words where she essentially endorsed socialism.

Before he addressed Waters, though, Roy stated that "I was intrigued by [an op-ed](#) that I saw not too long ago by a Democrat from Cuba commenting on one of our colleagues, an avowed socialist [AOC], and essentially pointing out the extent to which 'democratic socialism is a lot like the system my family fled except its proponents promised to be nicer when seizing your business.'"

"That's the truth," Roy remarked. "We can talk about these terms as if they don't matter, but they do. They do matter. They're actually at the core of who we are."

"I've got one question for the ranking member," Roy continued, looking at Waters and then his notes. "Ranking member Waters, in a 2008 hearing you said quote, 'and guess what, this liberal will be all about? This liberal will be all about socializing, would be about basically taking over the government and the government running all of your companies,' end quote" Roy said. "Simple question: Do you stand by that statement?"

Waters responded by first claiming that socialism was “basically a non-issue” and saying that the federal programs she was trying to protect were the same ones Roy wanted to protect.

Roy didn't take the bait and insisted on Waters addressing the 2008 remarks he referenced where she appeared to advocate for a government takeover of the oil industry. Waters denied twice during their back and forth that she was a socialist. She later claimed she was a “capitalist” [Same lie Biden claims, too.] in what hilariously came across as Maxine Waters denouncing Maxine Waters when all was said and done.

Watch the clip:

"I am NOT a socialist!!"

Watch this incredible clip of Chip Roy quoting Maxine Waters' own words right back to her face...

 pic.twitter.com/F8eiNpTES2

— Townhall.com (@townhallcom) [January 31, 2023](#)

I remember just a few weeks ago when certain “conservatives” were bemoaning the fact that Roy and other members of the House including Rep. Matt Gaetz (R-Fla.) were pressing for concessions from Rep. Kevin McCarthy (R-Calif.) over the course of several rounds of voting in the House Speaker battle.

One of the things Roy pushed for was to be on the Rules Committee.

As we saw from his exchange with Waters, it's to our benefit that he got what he asked for. We need to see more of this. A whole lot more.